



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश (असाधारण)

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

शिमला, मंगलवार, 30 मई, 2006/9 ज्येष्ठ, 1928

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

AYURVEDA DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 19th May, 2006

No. Ayur. Ka (3)-1/2006.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to nominate Shri Bharat Bhushan, Special Secretary (Ayurveda) as Nodal Officer in Ayurveda Department for implementation of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

By order,

Sd/-  
Pr. Secretary.

## HOME DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171 002, the 19th May, 2006*

**No. Home (A) A (9) 21/2001-Vol.-II.**—The recent increase in the production, consumption and trade in NDPS has become a cause of concern for the Government. In order to check and discourage such illegal activities, it has been decided to constitute District Level Anti Narcotics Task Forces for the districts of Kullu, Kinnaur, Kangra, Shimla, Sirmaur, Maudi and Chamba as under:—

1. The Deputy Commissioner	Chairman
2. Conservator of Forest/DFO	Member
3. The Superintendent of Police	Member-Secretary
4. Dy. Director, Horticulture	Member
5. Dy. Director, Agriculture	Member
6. Distt. Revenue Officer	Member
7. Asstt. Commr., E & T	Member
8. General Manager, DIC	Member
9. Project Officer, Distt. Rural Development Agency	Member
10. Distt. Welfare Officer	Member
11. District Attorney	Member

2. The District Level Anti Narcotics Task Force will meet as often as required but at least once in a quarter, and will :—

- (i) Identify the area of cultivation in the concerned districts,
- (ii) Create and activate anti narcotics cells in the districts and co-ordinate manpower and equipment for these cells for anti narcotics work,
- (iii) Establish state authority in at least one affected village/area in a month by a show of force, to include destruction of the cannabis growth and arrest of the persons involved in cannabis extraction as well as trafficking,
- (iv) Prepare cases for deportation of undesirable foreigners involved in trafficking,
- (v) Identify trafficking routes/modus operandi and launch counter-operations,
- (vi) Prepare Alternate development plans in specific identified areas, and
- (vii) Spread awareness among school children, college students and general public also involving NGOs, on the harmful effects of drugs.

3. This supersedes the Anti Narcotics Task Force, so constituted *vide* this Department's Notification No. Home (A) A (9) 21/2001, dated 9th October, 2002 and the Distt. Level Co-ordination Committee *vide* this department's Notification No. Home (A) A (9) 25/2000, dated 10th August, 2005.

By order,

Sd/-

Principal-Secretary.

PANCHAYATI RAJ DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 009, the 22nd May, 2006

**No. PCH-HA(3)3/96-5663-5834.**—In exercise of the powers vested in him, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh, under sub-section (1) of section 185 of the Himachal Pradesh Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 is pleased to constitute the District Planning Committee for each District of the State for the purpose of consolidating of plans prepared by the Gram Panchayats, Panchayat Samitis, Zila Parishads, Municipalities in the district and to prepare a draft development plan for the district as a whole.

Further, in exercise of the powers vested in him under sub-section (2) of section 185 of the Act *ibid* read with sub-rule (1) of rule 3 of the Himachal Pradesh District Planning Committee (Election) Rules, 1998, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to determine the total number of members of each of the District Planning Committee as given in the following table and to include the Cabinet Ministers of the State Government mentioned in column number 8 below, to be member and Chairman of the District Planning Committee of the respective districts.

Name of the District	Total No. of members of DPC.	No. of members to be elected from Zila Parishads.	No. of members to be elected from Municipalities.	No. of members of house of people.	Chairpersons of Zila Parishads.	No. of Mayor/ President of Municipalities having jurisdiction over the headquarter of the district.	Name of the Cabinet Minister/Minister who shall be the member and Chairperson of the DPG.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Bilaspur	20	15	1	1	1	1	Sh. Ram Lal Thakur
2. Chamba	25	19	1	2	1	1	Sh. Harsh Mahajan
3. Hamirpur	20	15	1	1	1	1	Sh. Rangila Ram Rao
4. Kangra	25	19	1	2	1	1	Sh. Sat Mahajan
5. Kinnaur	12	9	0	1	1	0	Sh. Singhi Ram
6. Kullu	20	15	1	1	1	1	Sh. Raj Krishan Gaur
7. Lahaul-Spiti	12	9	0	1	1	0	Sh. Raj Krishan Gaur
8. Mandi	20	15	1	1	1	1	Sh. Kaul Singh Thakur
9. Shimla	25	15	5	2	1	1	Sh. Singhi Ram
10. Sirmaur	20	14	2	1	1	1	Sh. Ram Lal Thakur
11. Solan	20	13	3	1	1	1	Sh. Singhi Ram
12. Una	20	15	1	1	1	1	Sh. Kuldeep Kumar

Besides, in exercise of powers vested in him under sub-section (8) of section 185 of the Act *ibid*, the Chairman of every District Planning Committee shall forward the development plan, as recommended by such Committee, to the Government after following the detailed procedure envisaged in sub-section (7) of section 185 of the Act *ibid*.

By order,

Sd/-  
Principal Secretary

## TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT

## ORDER

*Shimla-2, the 17th May, 2006*

**No. Tpt.-E(2) 12/2001-II.**—Whereas the parents of students of Class-IV and Class-I of St. Edward School of Shimla had filed CPM No. 291/2006 and No. 292/2006 under Order 1, rule 10 of CPC for becoming party to the Civil Writ Petition No. 505/2000, titled as Citizens Rights Protection Forum and others V/s State of Himachal Pradesh and others.

2. Whereas the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Orders dated 16-3-2006 have passed following Orders :—

“After hearing the learned counsel for the proposed applicants, we are of the view that instead of making the applicants as party to the writ petition, it would be appropriate for them to make a representation to the Principal Secretary (Transport) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh for getting their grievances redressed. At this stage learned counsel for the proposed applicants states that the representation was made earlier. Be that if may. Let another representation be made to the Principal Secretary (Transport) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh dealing their grievances which the applicants may have and we have no manner of doubt than on making such representation, Principal Secretary (Transport) shall decide the same within a period of two weeks from the receipt of such representation by passing a speaking order.

In view of the above order, both the applications shall stand disposed off.”

3. Whereas, the following students through their father Shri Kamaljit Singh, Associate Professor, Department of Law, H. P. University preferred a representation dated 30-3-2006, which was received in the Transport Section of H. P. Secretariat on 10-4-2006 :—

1. Yatharth Yaman Singh, student of IV class St. Edward School, Shimla.
2. Kartavya Kanchan Singh, student of 1st class, St. Edward School, Shimla.

4. And whereas, the representation dated 30-3-2006 tendered by the petitioners was considered by the Committee (constituted by the Hon'ble High Court, H. P. on 13-3-2003 in CWP No. 505/2000) in its 36th meeting held on 4-5-2006 of which the Principal Secretary (Transport) is Chairman. After discussing the representation in detail and depth and considering all the points raised by the petitioners, all the members present in the meeting were opposed to allowing of relaxation in respect of Himachal Pradesh University bus to ply in anti clock wise direction in the morning hours *i.e.* prior to 8.30 A. M. for the following reasons :—

1. That the Notification dated 16-7-2003, whereby all heavy motor vehicles plying on circular cart road of Shimla were directed to ply in a clock-wise direction from Victory Tunnel-Lakkar Bazar-Sanjauli-Chhota Shimla-Main Bus Stand have been issued in view of the immediate short term/long term measure suggested by Harsh Gupta Committee which was constituted as per High Court Orders.
2. The averment of the petitioners that Himachal Road Transport Corporation is plying big school buses in anti-clockwise direction is totally false and only mini buses having required width notified by the State Government are operating in anti-clockwise direction.

3. That relaxation in one case shall lead to similar demands from other quarters also.
4. That there are no special circumstances prevailing in the instant case to allow relaxation as children of parents who are not employees of H.P. University and are residing in the same locality and are commuting to schools in HRTC and other buses have not made such demand.
5. That all the members of the Committee were of the view that the petitioners are making thier demands with Transport Department but they have never made any representation to Registrar, Himachal Pradesh University for deploying mini buses for school duties. (Mini buses are allowed to ply in anti-clockwise direction).
5. Now, therefore, in view of the above reasons the representation dated 30-3-2006 is rejected. The Committee also suggested that a copy of this order be sent to Registrar H. P. University to consider the purchase of mini buses for benefit of children of its employees.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Principal Secretary.

